## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	) Civil Case No. 7:01cv00383
	Criminal Case No. 7:97cr00024-4
v.	) <u>2255 MEMORANDUM OPINION</u>
RAYED FAWZI ABED,	) By: Michael F. Urbanski
Petitioner.	) United States District Judge

Rayed Fawzi Abed, a federal inmate proceeding *pro se*, filed a motion for reconsideration (Docket No. 18) in his closed 28 US.C. § 2255 action, seeking review of his 1998 judgment in light of <u>Alleyne v. United States</u>, 133 S. Ct. 2151 (2013), and <u>Rosemond v. United States</u>, 134 S. Ct. 1240 (2014). The court finds that Abed's instant motion is, for all intents and purposes, an unauthorized, successive § 2255 motion and, therefore, construes it as such and dismisses it without prejudice.

Abed challenges his 438-month sentence and convictions for being a member of a criminal enterprise in violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) (RICO), conspiring to violate RICO, and a host of offenses arising out of his membership in the RICO enterprise. Court records indicate that Abed previously filed a § 2255 motion regarding the same convictions and sentence, which the court denied. See Civil Action No. 7:01cv00383. The court may consider a second or successive § 2255 motion only upon specific certification from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In <u>Alleyne</u>, the Supreme Court held that, other than prior convictions, "facts that increase [statutory] mandatory minimum sentences must be submitted to the jury." 133 S. Ct. at 2163. In <u>Rosemond</u>, the Supreme Court reversed a defendant's conviction for aiding and abetting a § 924(c) offense, finding that it had been error not to instruct the jury that in order to convict, it was necessary that the government prove that the defendant had advance knowledge that a gun would be used. 134 S. Ct. at 1251-52.

that the claims in the motion meet certain criteria.<sup>2</sup> See § 2255(h). As Abed has not submitted any evidence of having obtained certification from the Court of Appeals to file a second or successive § 2255 motion, the court must dismiss his motion without prejudice as successive.<sup>3</sup>

Entered: October 21, 2014

Michael F. Urbanski

Michael F. Urbanski United States District Judge

The court notes that in <u>United States v. Hairston</u>, No. 12-8096, 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 10846, 2014 WL 2600057 (4th Cir. June 11, 2014), the Fourth Circuit held that "a numerically second § 2255 motion should not be considered second or successive pursuant to § 2255(h) where . . . the facts relied on by the movant seeking resentencing did not exist when the numerically first motion was filed and adjudicated." <u>Cf.</u> 28 US.C. § 2255(h). In the instant matter, however, there are no new facts upon which Abed is relying. Rather, Abed's claim is based on a change in law that he believes affects his sentence. Accordingly, <u>Hairston</u> is inapplicable in this matter. <u>See e.g.</u>, <u>United States v. Norman</u>, No. 14-7088, 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 18698, 2014 WL 4825246 (4th Cir. Sept. 30, 2014) (district court lacked authority to consider petitioner's <u>Alleyne</u> claims where petitioner had not first obtained permission from the Court of Appeals to file a second or successive 2255 motion).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Petitioner is hereby advised of the procedure for obtaining certification from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit to have this court review a successive § 2255 motion. Petitioner must submit a copy of the successive § 2255 motion to the Court of Appeals, along with a motion requesting a three-judge panel certification that the district court may review the successive § 2255 motion. 28 U.S.C. § 2244. A Fourth Circuit form and instructions for filing this motion are available from the Fourth Circuit at the following address: Office of the Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, 900 E. Main St, Richmond, VA 23219.